



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/077,405	02/15/2002	Wilfrid LeBlanc	13297US01	4140

23446 7590 04/05/2007  
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD  
500 WEST MADISON STREET  
SUITE 3400  
CHICAGO, IL 60661

EXAMINER
----------

WONG, WARNER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2616

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	04/05/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/077,405	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LEBLANC, WILFRID	
	<b>Examiner</b> Warner Wong	<b>Art Unit</b> 2616	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 January 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14, 18-25 and 27-33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14, 18-25 and 27-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                 | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                        | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Agrawal (US 5,623,483).

**Regarding claim 1**, Agrawal describes a method of processing digital media data stream comprising a stream of data elements (title, multimedia streams), comprising:

- (a) receiving the data stream (fig. 1 & col. 2, lines 50-52, stream receiver 30);
- (b) holding each data element that is received prior to an end of a time period in a buffer until the end of the time period, at which time the data element is released for playout (col. 5, lines 24-33, buffer control 200 holds each data stream element in buffer slot designated by a respective pointer until timer 200, after  $T_r$  seconds, signals the element to be moved to output device 40);
- (c) monitoring a loss rate at which data elements in the data stream are not received by the end of their respective time periods (col. 6, lines 15-17, monitoring any changes to the PLR (packet loss rate) of the data stream in the buffer);

Art Unit: 2616

(d) adjusting a duration of the time period based upon the loss rate (col. 6, lines 15-17, updating (adjusting) the buffer operating characteristics described in col. 5, lines 24-39, including adjusting the timer 230 to a new  $T_r$  seconds delay packet rate).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 2-6 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agrawal (US 5,623,483) in view of Yao (6,097,697).

**Regarding claim 2**, Agrawal fails to describe:

adjusting step (d) comprises increasing the duration of the time period if the loss rate is above a first threshold.

Yao describes:

adjusting step (d) comprises increasing the duration of the time period if the loss rate is above a first threshold (col. 5, lines 8-15, "On loss rate axis 310, a loss hysteresis threshold [LOSS\_HYST] 312 defines a range 314 between LOSS\_HYST and 1.0. In this range, an excess loss rate contributes to a decrease in transmission rate" [i.e. increased duration of time period].)

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to incorporate the particular adjustment steps as a function of the loss rate as in Yao for the teaching of Agrawal.

The motivation for combining the teaching is that "The [loss rate] statistics provide indications of congestion of the data network" (Yao, col. 2, lines 56-57), and the statistic may be used to minimize such network congestion.

**Regarding claim 3**, Agrawal fails to explicitly describe:

adjusting step (d) comprises setting the duration of the time period at a first value if the loss rate is relatively low, and setting the duration at a second value, greater than the first value, if the loss rate is relatively higher

Yao describes:

adjusting step (d) comprises setting the duration of the time period at a first value (col. 6, line 26, new transmission rate  $R_{\text{new}}$ ) if the loss rate is relatively low (col. 6, lines 23, "If the combined factor is negative, then the rate [R-new] is decrease", where the combined factor comprises two "loss rate" affecting (sub)-factors: "Based on the loss ratio and excess loss rate of a sequence of packets, rate controller 116 computes two factors, a span factor and a loss factor", col. 5, lines 41-43);

and setting the duration at a second value (col. 6, line 28, new transmission rate  $R_{\text{new}}$ ), greater than the first value (increased transmission rate), if the loss rate is relatively higher (col. 6, lines 22- 23, "If the combined factor is positive, then the rate [R-new] is increased", where the combined factor comprises two "loss rate" affecting (sub)-factors: "Based on the loss ratio and excess loss rate of a sequence of packets, rate

Art Unit: 2616

controller 116 computes two factors, a span factor and a loss factor", col. 5, lines 41-43).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to incorporate the particular adjustment steps as a function of the loss rate as in Yao for the teaching of Agrawal.

The motivation for combining the teaching is that "The [loss rate] statistics provide indications of congestion of the data network" (Yao, col. 2, lines 56-57), and the statistic may be used to minimize such network congestion.

**Regarding claim 4**, Agrawal fails to explicitly describe:

adjusting step (d) comprises decreasing the duration of the time period if the loss rate is relatively low, and increasing the duration if the loss rate is relatively higher.

Yao describes:

adjusting step (d) comprises decreasing the duration of the time period if the loss rate is relatively low, and increasing the duration if the loss rate is relatively higher (col. 5, lines 8-15, "On loss rate axis 310, a loss hysteresis threshold [LOSS\_HYST] 312 defines a range 314 between LOSS\_HYST and 1.0. In this range, an excess (high) loss rate contributes to a decrease in transmission rate [i.e. increased duration of time period]. The negative of the loss hysteresis threshold [-LOSS\_HYST] (low loss rate) 316 defines a range 318 from -LOSS\_HYST to -1.0 in which the excess loss rate contributes to an increase in transmission rate [i.e. decreased duration of time period].")

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to incorporate the particular adjustment steps as a function of the loss rate as in Yao for the teaching of Agrawal.

The motivation for combining the teaching is that "The [loss rate] statistics provide indications of congestion of the data network" (Yao, col. 2, lines 56-57), and the statistic may be used to minimize such network congestion.

**Regarding claim 5**, Agrawal fails to describe:

(d)(i) if the loss rate is lower than a first threshold (LOSS\_HYST), maintaining the duration of the time period at a present value, and

(d)(ii) if the loss rate is greater than the first threshold, increasing the duration of the time period by a first amount.

Yao describes the adjustment of step (d) comprises:

(d)(i) if the loss rate is lower than a first threshold (LOSS\_HYST), maintaining the duration of the time period at a present value (fig. 3, range between #316 and #312, where transmission rate is unchanged) and

(d)(ii) if the loss rate is greater than the first threshold, increasing the duration of the time period by a first amount higher (col. 5, lines 8-15, "On loss rate axis 310, a loss hysteresis threshold [LOSS\_HYST] 312 defines a range 314 between LOSS\_HYST and 1.0. In this range, an excess (high) loss rate contributes to a decrease in transmission rate [i.e. increased duration of time period]").

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to incorporate the particular adjustment steps as a function of the loss rate as in Yao for the teaching of Agrawal.

The motivation for combining the teaching is that "The [loss rate] statistics provide indications of congestion of the data network" (Yao, col. 2, lines 56-57), and the statistic may be used to minimize such network congestion.

**Regarding claim 6**, Agrawal and Yao combined describe all limitations in claim 5. Agrawal and Yao further describe that step (d)(ii) comprises:

increasing the duration of the time period by a first amount that is substantially equivalent to a duration of the media represented by one data element (Agrawal, col. 6, lines 25-29, repeating a data element 1x to increase time period equivalent to a data element).

**Regarding claim 9**, Agrawal fails to describe:

(d)(i) if the loss rate is lower than a first threshold, decreasing the duration of the time period;

(d)(ii) if the loss rate is greater than the first threshold but less than a second threshold, and

(d)(iii) if the loss rate is greater than the second threshold (LOSS\_HYST), increasing the duration of the time period.

Yao describes that step (d) comprises:

(d)(i) if the loss rate is lower than a first threshold (fig. 3, -LOSS\_HYST #316), decreasing the duration of the time period;



(d)(ii) if the loss rate is greater than the first threshold but less than a second threshold (fig. 3, LOSS\_HYST #312), maintaining the duration of the time period at a present value [fig. 3, between -LOSS\_HYST #316 and LOSS\_HYST #312]; and

(d)(iii) if the loss rate is greater than the second threshold (LOSS\_HYST), increasing the duration of the time period;

(col. 5, lines 8-15, "On loss rate axis 310, a loss hysteresis threshold [LOSS\_HYST] 312 defines a range 314 between LOSS\_HYST and 1.0. In this range, an excess (high) loss rate contributes to a decrease in transmission rate [i.e. increased duration of time period]. The negative of the loss hysteresis threshold [-LOSS\_HYST] (low loss rate) 316 defines a range 318 from -LOSS\_HYST to -1.0 in which the excess loss rate contributes to an increase in transmission rate [i.e. decreased duration of time period].")

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to incorporate the particular adjustment steps as a function of the loss rate as in Yao for the teaching of Agrawal.

The motivation for combining the teaching is that "The [loss rate] statistics provide indications of congestion of the data network" (Yao, col. 2, lines 56-57), and the statistic may be used to minimize such network congestion.

**Regarding claim 10**, Agrawal further describe that the data elements are frames of encoded data (col. 1, line 13, audio/video encoded frames).

**Regarding claim 11**, Agrawal suggests that the time period begins for each transmitted data element when the data element is sent by a transmitting end (col. 5, lines 46-47, in using the timestamp of the sender).

3. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agrawal in view of Yao as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Chiussi (5,905,711).

**Regarding claim 7**, Agrawal and Yao combined describe all limitations in claim 5. Agrawal further suggests the adjustment of step (d) comprises:

(d)(iii) if the loss rate is greater than a threshold, increasing the duration of the time period by a second amount that is greater than the first amount (col. 25-29, where the playout duration is increased by a second amount equivalent to repeatedly transmitting a data packet/element X times, which is X times greater in amount than repeatedly transmitting a data packet/element 1 time).

Agrawal fails to describe:

a second threshold that is greater than the first threshold (abstract, where second threshold is a greater value than the first threshold.

Chiussi describe:

a second threshold that is greater than the first threshold (abstract, where second threshold is a greater value than the first threshold [to direct all data sources to reduce data transfer rate).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time or motivation to describe first and second thresholds to indicate the step increase of duration of time period in Agrawal and Yao.

The motivation for combining the teachings is that this is "a method and apparatus that achieves good performance by guaranteeing fairness and control on the buffer size and is simple to implement", (col. 2, lines 12-14).

**Regarding claim 8**, Agrawal, Yao and Chiussi describe all limitations set forth in claim 7. Agrawal further suggests step (d)(ii) comprises:

increasing the duration of the time period by a first amount that is substantially equivalent to a duration of the media represented by one data element and wherein the second amount is substantially equivalent to twice the duration of the media represented by one data element ((Agrawal, col. 6, lines 25-29, repeating a data element 1x to increase time period equivalent to a data packet/element, or repeating a data element 2x to increase the duration equivalent to 2 bytes of data packet/element).

4. Claims 12-14, 21, 23-25, 30 and 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agrawal and further in view of Ho (US 6,810,377).

**Regarding claims 12 and 23**, Agrawal describes a method of (transmitted) digital media data stream comprising a stream of data elements (packets/cells), comprising:

[a jitter buffer to] (a) receiving the data stream (fig. 1 & col. 2, lines 50-52, stream receiver 30) and (b) hold each data element that is received prior to an end of a time

Art Unit: 2616

period in a buffer until the end of the time period, at which time the data element is released for playout (col. 5, lines 24-33, buffer control 200 holds each data stream element in buffer slot designated by a respective pointer until timer 200, after  $T_r$  seconds, signals the element to be moved to output device 40);

[a controller to] (d) monitor a loss rate at which data elements in the data stream are not received by the end of their respective time periods (col. 6, lines 15-17, monitoring any changes to the PLR (packet loss rate) of the data stream in the buffer), and (e) adjust a duration of the time period based upon the loss rate (col. 6, lines 15-17, updating (adjusting) the buffer operating characteristics described in col. 5, lines 24-39, including adjusting the timer 230 to a new  $T_r$  seconds delay packet rate).

Agrawal fails to describe:

[a lost data element recovery mechanism to] (b) estimating, by an adaptive jitter buffer, a parameter of the unreceived data element based on received subsequent data element.

Ho describes:

receiving, by an adaptive jitter buffer, a subsequent data element that follows the unreceived data element in the data stream, and estimating, by an adaptive jitter buffer, a parameter of the unreceived data element based on received subsequent data element (col. 3, lines 30-33 & col. 4, lines 40-42, receiving a subsequent frame (data element) following a missing (unreceived) frame), and interpolating (estimating) the parameter of the missing frame).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to describe estimating an unreceived data element based on the subsequent data element as per Ho for the teaching of Agrawal.

The motivation for combining the teaching is that it eliminates any unnatural sounding at the output (Ho, col. 3, lines 24-27).

**Regarding claims 13 and 24**, Agrawal and Ho combined describe that the receiving step (c) comprises receiving a plurality of subsequent data elements that follow the unreceived data frame (element) in the data stream and using Linear Predictive Coding (LPC) to estimate a parameter of the unreceived data frame based on a subsequent data element as per claim 12 (Ho, abstract), but fails to teach estimating the parameter of the unreceived data element based on the received subsequent data elements.

The examiner takes office notice that it is well-known in the art at the time of invention by applicant mathematically to linearly interpolate a missing data point (element) by using two subsequent data points in auto generating a chart/graph.

The motivation for using the two subsequent data points (elements) in generating a previous data point is so that a complete chart flow may be automatically generated even though initial datum/data may be missing.

**Regarding claims 14 and 25**, Agrawal fails to describe:

estimating a parameter of the unreceived data element based on the received subsequent data element and on a prior data element that precedes the unreceived data element in the data stream.

Art Unit: 2616

Ho describes:

estimating a parameter of the unreceived data element based on the received subsequent data element and on a prior data element that precedes the unreceived data element in the data stream (col. 3, lines 30-33 & col. 4, lines 40-42, receiving a previous and subsequent frame (data element) following a missing (unreceived) frame), and interpolating (estimating) the value (parameter) of the missing frame).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to describe estimating an unreceived data element based on the subsequent data element as per Ho for the teaching of Agrawal.

The motivation for combining the teaching is that it eliminates any unnatural sounding at the output (Ho, col. 3, lines 24-27).

**Regarding claims 21 and 30**, Agrawal suggests that the time period begins for each transmitted data element when the data element is sent by a transmitting end (col. 5, lines 46-47, in using the timestamp of the sender).

**Regarding claim 32**, Agrawal and Ho combined describe all limitations set forth in claim 23. Agrawal further describes:

the media data stream is an encoded audio data stream comprising a plurality of audio data elements, each representing a porting of a transmitted audio session (col. 1, line 13, encoded audio data stream of audio data packets).

**Regarding claim 33**, Agrawal and Ho combined describe all limitations set forth in claim 23. Agrawal further describe that the data elements are frames of encoded data (col. 1, line 13, encoded audio/video data frames).

5. Claim 18-20, 22, 27-29 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agrawal in view of Ho as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Yao.

**Regarding claim 18**, Agrawal and Ho combined describe all limitations in claim 17. Agrawal fails to describe:

adjusting step (e) comprises increasing the duration of the time period if the loss rate is above a first threshold.

Yao describes:

adjusting step (e) comprises increasing the duration of the time period if the loss rate is above LOSS\_HYST (first threshold) (col. 5, lines 8-15, "On loss rate axis 310, a loss hysteresis threshold [LOSS\_HYST] 312 defines a range 314 between LOSS\_HYST and 1.0. In this range, an excess loss rate contributes to a decrease in transmission rate" [i.e. increased duration of time period].)

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to incorporate the monitoring and the adjustment of the playout using the loss rate as in Yao for the teaching of Agrawal and Ho.

The motivation for combining the teaching is that "The [loss rate] statistics provide indications of congestion of the data network" (Yao, col. 2, lines 56-57), and the statistic may be used to minimize such network congestion.

**Regarding claim 19**, Agrawal, Ho and Yao combined describe all limitations in claim 18. Agrawal further describes adjusting step (e) comprises:

increasing the duration of the time period by an amount that is substantially equivalent to a duration of the media represented by one data element if the loss rate is greater than the first threshold (Agrawal, col. 6, lines 25-29, repeating a data element 1x to increase time period equivalent to a data element).

**Regarding claim 20**, Agrawal, Ho and Yao combined describe all limitations in claim 18.

Agrawal fails to describe: decreasing the duration of the time period if the loss rate is below than a second threshold.

Yao describes adjusting step (e) comprises:

decreasing the duration of the time period if the loss rate is below than a second threshold (fig. 3, -LOSS\_HYST #316) that is lower than the first threshold (LOSS\_HYST) (fig. 3, where -#316 is lower than #312).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to incorporate the monitoring and the adjustment of the playout using a second threshold as in Yao for the teaching of Agrawal.

The motivation for combining the teaching is that "The [loss rate] statistics provide indications of congestion of the data network" (Yao, col. 2, lines 56-57), and the statistic may be used to minimize such network congestion.

**Regarding claim 22**, Agrawal, Ho and Yao combined describe all limitations in claim 12. Agrawal further describe that the data elements are frames of encoded data (col. 1, line 13, encoded audio/video data frames).



**Regarding claim 26**, Agrawal and Ho combined describe all limitations set forth in claim 23. Agrawal fails to describe:

a controller monitoring a loss rate at which data elements in the data stream are not received by the end of their respective time periods.

Yao describes:

computing (monitoring) a loss rate at which data elements in the data stream are not received by the end of their respective time periods (fig. 2, period of sequence of packet dP=17, and col. 4, lines 29-31, "Rate controller 116 computes two statistics for such a sequence of sent packets 200. the first is a loss rate,") and to adjust a duration of the time period (transmission rate) based upon the loss rate (col. 4, lines 59-61, "a rate controller computes an excess loss rate,  $L-L[0]$  and a loss ratio  $1-L[s]$  in order to adjust the transmission rate").

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to incorporate the monitoring and the adjustment of hold period before playout using the loss rate (unreceived data element) as per Yao for the combined teachings of Agrawal and Ho.

The motivation for combining the teachings is that "The [loss rate] statistics provide indications of congestion of the data network" (Yao, col. 2, lines 56-57), and the statistic may be used to minimize such network congestion.

**Regarding claim 28**, Agrawal, Ho and Yao combined describe all limitations in claim 27.

Agrawal fails to describe:

Art Unit: 2616

the controller is adapted to increase the duration of the time period by an amount that is substantially equivalent to a duration of the media represented by one data element if the loss rate is greater than the first threshold.

Yao describes:

the controller is adapted to increase the duration of the time period by an amount that is substantially equivalent to a duration of the media represented by one data element if the loss rate is greater than the first threshold (col. 4, lines 36-40, where the POB level is the buffer size and the increase of duration by a first amount is equivalent to the [extra] time in transmitting a byte of data [element]).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to incorporate the monitoring and the adjustment of hold period before playout using the loss rate (unreceived data element) as per Yao for the combined teachings of Agrawal and Ho.

The motivation for combining the teachings is that "The [loss rate] statistics provide indications of congestion of the data network" (Yao, col. 2, lines 56-57), and the statistic may be used to minimize such network congestion.

**Regarding claim 29**, Agrawal, Ho and Yao combined describe all limitations in claim 27. Agrawal fails to describe:

the controller is adapted to decrease the duration of the time period if the loss rate is below than a second threshold.

Yao describes:

the controller is adapted to decrease the duration of the time period if the loss rate is below than a second threshold (fig. 3, -LOSS\_HYST #316) that is lower than the first threshold (LOSS\_HYST) (fig. 3, where -#316 is lower than #312).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to incorporate the monitoring and the adjustment of hold period before playout using the loss rate (unreceived data element) as per Yao for the combined teachings of Agrawal and Ho.

The motivation for combining the teachings is that "The [loss rate] statistics provide indications of congestion of the data network" (Yao, col. 2, lines 56-57), and the statistic may be used to minimize such network congestion.

**Regarding claim 31**, Agrawal and Ho combined describe all limitations in claim 23. Agrawal fails to describe:

a decoder adapted to receive data elements from the Jitter buffer and to decode the data elements to produce decoded data elements representing media samples.

Yao describes:

a decoder (network node #110B) adapted to receive data elements from the Jitter buffer (fig. 1, intermediate node #104) and to decode the data elements to produce decoded data elements representing media samples (fig. 1, where application layer #112 receiving decoded packets/elements from (lower) transport & network layers #118 and #120).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to incorporate a decoder to decode data outputs from a jitter

Art Unit: 2616

buffer (intermediate network node) as per Yao for the combined teachings of Agrawal and Ho.

The motivation for combining the teachings is that the data is transported from one network node to another via the standardized ISO protocol stack, requiring a layered approach to transmission of data (coding & decoding).

### ***Response to Arguments***

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14, 18-25 and 27-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Conclusion***

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Lanza fame (US 7,006,511) describing a dynamic jitter buffer.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2616

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Warner Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-8197. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30AM - 3:00PM, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kwang Yao can be reached on 571-272-3182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Warner Wong  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2616

WW

KWANG BIN YAO  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

